



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. *102*

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

The amendment document filed on 12/19/03 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121, as amended on June 30, 2003 (see 68 Fed. Reg. 38611, Jun. 30, 2003). In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. **Only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment document must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted.** 37 CFR 1.121(h).

THE FOLLOWING CHECKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

1. Amendments to the specification:
 A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
 C. Other _____

2. Abstract:
 A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.
 B. Other _____

3. Amendments to the drawings: _____

4. Amendments to the claims:
 A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.
 B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (including withdrawn claims)
 C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified.
 D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
 E. Other: CLAIM 56 IS CANCELLED NOT WITHDRAWN

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opa/preognitice/officenew.pdf>.


If the non-compliant amendment is a **PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**, applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mail date of this letter to supply the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in non-entry of the preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed changes in the preliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this **ONE MONTH** time limit is not extendable.

If the non-compliant amendment is a reply to a **NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION** (including a submission for an RCE), and since the amendment appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MONTH from the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

If the amendment is a reply to a **FINAL REJECTION**, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. **The period for response to a final rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection**, and is not affected by the non-compliant status of the amendment.

Bruce Harrison
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

(571) - 272 - 1016
Telephone No.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Scott D. Lucas, et al.

Serial No.: 09/317,409

Filed: May 24, 1999

For: PRODUCTS AND METHOD OF
CORE CRUSH PREVENTION

§

§

Group Art Unit: 1771

§

Examiner: J. Befumo

§

Dated: February 20, 2004

§

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated November 25, 2003, stating that the Amendment filed on November 14, 2003 is considered non-compliant because claim 56 did not have the proper status identifier, transmitted herewith is a corrected Amendment which meets the requirement of 37 CFR 1.121 as amended on June 30, 2003.

Respectfully submitted,

Fran S. Wasserman
Registration No. 34,273

Cytec Industries Inc.
1937 West Main Street
P. O. Box 60
Stamford, Connecticut 06904-0060
Telephone No. (203) 321-2912
Facsimile No. (203) 321-2971



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Scott D. Lucas, et al.

Serial No.: 09/317,409

§

§

Group Art Unit: 1771

Filed: May 24, 1999

§

Examiner: J. Befumo

For: PRODUCTS AND METHOD OF
CORE CRUSH PREVENTION

§

Dated: February 20, 2004

§

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Sir:

Claims 55 and 57-59 stand finally rejected after appeal. Filed herewith is a Request for Continued Examination of this application. Please amend claim 55 as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 10 of this paper.